

# **EXHIBIT B**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
FORT LAUDERDALE DIVISION  
CASE NO. 23-CV-60800

GBB DRINK LAB, INC., )  
)  
Plaintiff/ )  
Counter Defendant, )  
)

vs. )  
)

FSD PHARMA, INC., and FSD )  
BIOSCIENCES, INC., )  
)  
Defendants/ )  
Counter-claimants. )  
----- )

FSD PHARMA, INC., )  
)  
Third-Party )  
Plaintiff, )  
)

vs. )  
)

JOSEPH ROMANO, )  
)  
Third-Party )  
Defendant. )

CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION

OF

EDWARD G. BROWN, PH.D.

June 30, 2025 - 9:01 a.m.

Stenographically

Reported by: Lisa A. Wheeler, RPR, CRR

Page 142

1

[REDACTED]

Page 144

1  
2

[REDACTED]

15 Q. Okay. So you'd agree with me that a  
16 court or a juror could conduct that same analysis  
17 and they do not necessarily need the same  
18 technical background that you have. Is that fair  
19 to say?  
20

[REDACTED]

Page 143

1

[REDACTED]

Page 145

1

[REDACTED]

Page 290

1

[REDACTED]

Page 292

1

[REDACTED]

Q. So, for example, an attorney, a judge, or a juror could look at what's in the patent and compare it to, for example, an ingredient list, correct?

16  
17  
18  
19  
20

[REDACTED]

Page 291

1

[REDACTED]

Page 293

1

[REDACTED]

Page 306

Page 308

1

1

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Q. So in terms of your review of the documents, Mr. Brown, can you agree with me that for the vast majority of your analysis, a layperson such as a juror or even a judge could do a similar analysis and reach their own independent conclusions about the documents that

Page 307

Page 309

1

1

2

you reviewed?